

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/528,559	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OKAMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert W. Horn	<b>Art Unit</b> 2837	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Robert W. Horn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Jeffrey A. Schmidt.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2006
**Time:** 11:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Applicant's argument concerning the torque filter for processing a torque signal from a torque sensor.*
**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Shimizu et al (U.S. Patent 5,473,539)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*argument that sensor detects steering torque of the steering wheel and effect of adding the limitation to the claim.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 LINCOLN DONOVAN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)